L'écart de chaque atome a ce plan est respectivement:

| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-0,14 \AA$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $0,05 \AA$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-0,96 \AA$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 0,13 | $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 0,09 | $\mathrm{O}(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 0,77 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 0,06 | C | 0,01 | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 0,10 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 0,04 | $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $-0,56$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 0,11 | $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $-0,26$ |  |

On remarque que ces atomes se répartissent de façon que les carbones directement liés au groupement carboxylique s'éloignent le plus du plan moyen de la chaine.

Les liaisons hydrogènes assurant la polymérisation des molécules sont à peu près semblables à chaque extrémité c'est ainsi que l'on trouve:

Pour le groupement initial: $\quad \mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)=2,687 \AA$ Pour le groupement terminal: $\mathrm{O}(4) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)=2,668$

L'étude de cette série va se poursuivre jusqu'à l'acide en $\mathrm{C}(13)$; nous indiquerons à la suite de la description de cette structure les caractéristiques structurales de cette série.
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# The Crystal and Molecular Structure of Sulfur Dicyanide 

By Kenneth Emerson<br>Chemistry Department, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana, U.S.A.

(Received 10 January 1966 and in revised form 11 April 1966)
The crystal structure of sulfur dicyanide, $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$, has been determined. The crystal is orthorhombic, space group $P b c a, a=8.56, b=6 \cdot 87, c=12 \cdot 84 \AA$. The molecules are planar with $C_{2 v}$ symmetry. The average $C-N$ distance is $1.12 \AA$, and the average $S-C$ distance is $1.73 \AA$. Both nitrogen atoms are involved in weak interactions with neighboring sulfur atoms. The coordination at the sulfur atom is roughly square planar.

## Introduction

The structures of quite a number of molecular cyanides have been determined by X-ray diffraction in recent years. These have all exhibited one feature in common: a nitrogen-to-heavy-atom distance $0 \cdot 4-0.5 \AA$ shorter than one would predict from Pauling's van der Waals radii (Pauling, 1960). In addition, the determinations of simple Group V cyanide structures (Emerson \& Britton, 1963, 1964) have shown that in these compounds the bond angle at the cyanide carbon is significantly smaller than $180^{\circ}$. This deviation from linearity does not occur in the Group VII cyanides (Heiart \& Carpenter, 1956). The structure of $\mathrm{Se}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ has been reported by Hazell (1964), but the determination was done only in projections and the bond distances and angles obtained are admittedly unreliable. Hazell also reported that $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ is isomorphous with $\mathrm{Se}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$. At the time this note appeared, the present author had collected preliminary data on $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$; these indicated that the space group was different from that reported by Hazell for $\mathrm{Se}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$. It therefore seemed of some interest to proceed with the complete structure deter-
mination, both to resolve this conflict over the space group and to obtain more reliable bond distances and angles for a simple Group VI cyanide.

## Experimental

$\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ was prepared as described by Söderback (1919) and purified by vacuum sublimation. The tabular white crystals are not especially hygroscopic, and can be handled for short periods in air, but they decompose slowly even in tightly sealed bottles to give a brownish, flaky material. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction work were sealed inside thin-walled borosilicate glass capillaries. Two sets of data were obtained. From one crystal, Weissenberg photographs of levels $0-5$ about [011] as a rotation axis were obtained with $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation. Photographs of the sixth layer were also collected, but these data correlated so poorly with the other layers that they were excluded from the refinement. From a second crystal, precession photographs of levels $0 k l-4 k l$ were collected with Mo $K \alpha$ radiation. Of the approximately 700 independent reflections within the sphere of reflection for $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation, 291
were observed. Intensities were estimated visually by comparison with a standard intensity strip prepared from one of the crystals by timed exposures. Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied in the usual manner, using programs written for this purpose at Montana State University. Both crystals were very small -0.2 to 0.3 mm in the largest dimension - and absorption corrections were judged to be negligible.

## Space group and unit cell

The following extinctions were observed: $h$ odd when $l=0, k$ odd when $h=0, l$ odd when $k=0$. There are therefore three mutually perpendicular glide planes and the space group is uniquely determined as $P b c a\left(D_{2 h}^{15}\right)$. The unit cell dimensions were determined by careful measurements on Weissenberg and rotation photographs of the crystals. The camera diameter was determined by measuring it several times with a precision micrometer and averaging the results. Allowance was made for film thickness in the calculation. The following cell dimensions were obtained:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=8.56 \pm 0.01 \AA \\
& b=6.87 \pm 0.01 \\
& c=12.84 \pm 0.01
\end{aligned}
$$

If one assumes that $Z=8$, the density of $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ calculated from these dimensions is $1.48 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. Prestegard (1963) has estimated that the density is 1.4 g.cm ${ }^{-3}$ as a lower limit, in good agreement.

## Determination of structure

A three-dimensional Patterson map was calculated. The $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ interactions were readily apparent, and the position of the sulfur atom was easily deduced. None of the lighter atoms were particularly obvious in the Patterson map. Structure factors were calculated using the approximate sulfur position and an electron density map, using observed amplitudes and signs determined by the sulfur atoms, was computed. The positions of the two cyanide groups were quite apparent in this map. Least-squares refinement was begun immediately with a program written by J.A. Mair and kindly sent to me by Professor Bruce Penfold. The program minimizes the function $E=\sum w\left[\left(k F_{o}\right)^{2}-\left(F_{c}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}$, and uses a block diagonal approximation for calculating parameter shifts. Only observed reflections were included in the refinement. The weighting scheme used assigned the weights as follows:

$$
\vee w=\frac{1}{\left\{1+\left(\frac{k F_{o}-b}{a}-\right)^{2}\right\}^{t}}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are assigned at the beginning of each cycle of refinement. At the beginning of the refinement, $a$ was set equal to 50 and $b$ to 30 . Scattering factors were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962).

The structure refined in nine cycles from an $R$ index* of 0.39 to $0 \cdot 17$. Refinement at this stage was proceeding very slowly, and the two $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths differed by $0 \cdot 1 \AA$. A careful examination of the data suggested that there might be systematic scaling errors. The original scaling had been done in the following way: the five layers of precession data were scaled to each other using a single [011] zone precession films, and the scale factors for each Weissenberg layer relative to this block of precession data were then determined. The scaling, therefore, rested heavily on the [011] zone photograph which had only a relatively small number of reflections visible on some row lines. Because of the geometry of the collecting process, the common practice of refining scale factors for the individual Weissenberg layers was impractical. Instead, the data were rescaled with the use of following scheme:

1. All ratios $P_{i} / W_{j}$ for reflections common to both blocks of data were determined, where $P_{i}$ is an unscaled precession datum from the $i$ th layer and $W_{j}$ is an unscaled Weissenberg datum from the $j$ th layer.
2. Average values of $\overline{P_{i} /} W_{j}$ for fixed $i$ and $j$ were calculated.
3. By dividing $\bar{P}_{i} / \bar{W}_{j}$ by $\bar{P}_{i} / \bar{W}_{k}$, ratios $\bar{W}_{k} / \bar{W}_{j}$ could be determined. Average values of $\bar{W}_{k /} \bar{W}_{j}$ were calculated, weighting the various ratios according to the number of observations involved. Average values of $\overline{P_{i} / \overline{P_{j}}}$ were calculated in the same way.
4. $P_{4}^{-}$was set equal to 1.0 and all other scale factors calculated relative to this arbitrary point. The set of scale factors so obtained differed significantly from the original set.
Refinement was resumed with these rescaled data. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths improved immediately, and in six more cycles $R$ was reduced to $0 \cdot 14$. Then $a$ was set equal to 10 and $b$ to 12 in the weighting factor expression. Three more cycles of refinement reduced $R$ to $0 \cdot 12$. The positions of all the atoms at this point in the refinement were within one standard deviation of the final values obtained after complete anisotropic refinement.

At this stage, an anisotropic temperature factor was assigned to the sulfur atom and refinement was continued for five more cycles. Anisotropic temperature factors were then assigned to all atoms and nine more cycles of refinement carried out. These reduced $R$ to $0 \cdot 106$ and all shifts to less than ten per cent of the standard deviations.

As an experiment, the weighting scheme was altered again at this point. The parameter $a$ was set equal to 1.0 and $b$ to zero. After six cycles of refinement it was clear that the process was diverging. When $a$ was raised to ten again, with $b$ still zero, the refinement converged in six more cycles to an $R$ value of $0 \cdot 106$, with the position parameters essentially unchanged.

[^0]Table 1. Observed and calculated structure factors


* Indicates an unobserved reflection. The number in the $F_{0}$ column in these cases represents the estimated upper limit for that reflection.

Table 2. Final parameters
All parameters are multiplied by 104 . Standard deviations are given in parentheses. The refinement program used does not calculate standard deviations for the thermal parameters. The anisotropic temperature factor has the form exp $\left\{\left(-\beta_{11} h^{2}+\beta_{22} k^{2}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\beta_{33} l^{2}+\beta_{23} k l+\beta_{13} h l+\beta_{12} h k\right)\right\}$

|  | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $\beta_{11}$ | $\beta_{22}$ | $\beta_{33}$ | $\beta_{23}$ | $\beta_{13}$ | $\beta_{12}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{S}$ | $-81(4)$ | $1642(5)$ | $1221(3)$ | 104 | 152 | 62 | 2 | 15 | 31 |
| $\mathbf{C}(1)$ | $1783(18)$ | $2594(22)$ | $1368(12)$ | 154 | 150 | 36 | -6 | -57 | 122 |
| $\mathbf{C}(2)$ | $-999(20)$ | $3852(25)$ | $1092(13)$ | 177 | 161 | 42 | 67 | -4 | 48 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)$ | $2992(18)$ | $3198(22)$ | $1427(12)$ | 178 | 208 | 115 | -71 | -91 | -7 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(2)$ | $-1673(18)$ | $5265(22)$ | $1023(12)$ | 199 | 246 | 105 | 85 | -5 | 146 |

The unobserved reflections were calculated in the final cycle and are included in Table 1. The final positional parameters are given in Table 2, and the bond distances and angles in Table 3.

Table 3. Bond lengths and bond angles

|  |  | $\sigma$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.736 \AA$ | $0.015 \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.718 | 0.018 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.118 | 0.021 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 1.134 | 0.023 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $95.60^{\circ}$ | $0.78^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 177.49 | 1.34 |
| $\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 176.43 | 1.70 |

The cell dimensions reported are essentially those of Hazell. They are commensurate as well with those reported by Féher, Hirschfeld \& Linke (1963), when one considers the geometry of data collection. If one examines a zero layer Weissenberg photograph rotated about [011], it exhibits two mirror planes and extinctions corresponding to screw axes along both the apparent axial rows. Without examining the first layer, it is very easy to draw the conclusion that the crystal is orthorhombic with two perpendicular $2_{1}$ axes and the dimensions reported by Féher, Hirschfeld \& Linke.

Hazell (1963) reported the space group for $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ as $C m c a$, isomorphous with $\operatorname{Se}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ which he refined
in projection. The isomorphism was determined by comparison of zero layer Weissenberg photographs of the two compounds (Hazell, 1965). The work reported here clearly establishes the space group for $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ as $P b c a$; the question then arises whether $\operatorname{Se}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ is isomorphous with $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ or whether $\mathrm{Se}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ has symmetry Cmca and the structure reported by Hazell. This problem does not seem to be resolvable with the available data. The sulfur atoms in $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ have very nearly Cmca symmetry, and if the Se atoms occupy similar positions in that structure, they will clearly dominate the scattering. Structure factors for $\mathrm{Se}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ have been


Fig. 1. Diagram showing one layer of $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ molecules at $z \simeq \frac{1}{8}$. Symmetry elements are shown to indicate orientation of remaining three layers. The plane of the molecule is tilted about $7^{\circ}$ relative to the plane of projection.


Fig. 2. The unit cell of $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ from 0 to $c / 2$, viewed down the $b$ axis.
calculated using Hazell's cell dimensions and the position parameters given in Table 2. A comparison of these calculated structure factors with the observed structure factors reported by Hazell gives an $R$ value of $0 \cdot 136$. This is almost as good as Hazell's $0 \cdot 12$, but does not seem at all conclusive. Refinement could improve the agreement, but since the reported data have a higher symmetry than the proposed structure, the meaning of such a refinement is dubious.

Hazell (1965) has indicated that on the basis of his data the two compounds are very probably isomorphous, and that his reported structure for $\operatorname{Se}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ may thus be in error. He suggests that the error may have resulted from disorder, since in some cases streaks appeared on his photographs where spots should be if the space group is $P b c a$ for $\operatorname{Se}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$.

This structure exhibits the familiar short nitrogen-to-heavy-atom distance which is characteristic of these cyanides. The N---S distances are 2.97 and $2.95 \AA$, respectively; the calculated distance using Pauling's van der Walls radii is $3.35 \AA$. One layer of $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ molecules is shown in Fig. 1. There are four such layers in the unit cell; the symmetry elements are shown to indicate how these layers are related to one another in orientation. A view of two of these layers - half of the unit cell - is shown in Fig. 2. The molecule is planar to within one standard deviation of the parameters and exhibits $C_{2 v}$ symmetry.

A number of studies of the structure of $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ have appeared recently in the literature. The most detailed treatment is that of Pierce, Nelson \& Thomas (1965). The molecular parameters reported here agree very well with theirs, as shown in Table 4. Other recent studies have all been less detailed; the results are summarized in Table 4 for comparison.

The coordination at the sulfur atom is very roughly square planar, if one includes the two weakly interacting nitrogen atoms. The molecule does not exhibit the non-linear bonding at the cyanide carbon atom which has been found in $\mathrm{As}(\mathrm{CN})_{3}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{CN})_{3}, \mathrm{Se}(\mathrm{SeCN})_{2}$ (Aksnes \& Foss, 1954) and $\mathrm{As}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ (Schlemper \& Britton, 1966). It is by no means clear at present what factors are important in causing this non-linearity.

Table 4. Comparison of molecular parameters for $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ as determined by various methods

| Investigator | $\underset{\text { C-S }}{\text { bond }}$ | C-N bond | $\begin{gathered} \text { C-S-C } \\ \text { angle } \end{gathered}$ | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emerson | 1.73 | $1 \cdot 12$ | $95.6{ }^{\circ}$ | X-ray diffraction |
| Pierce, Nelson \& Thomas (1965) | $1 \cdot 70$ | $1 \cdot 15$ | $98^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ | Infrared and microwave spectra |
| Rogers \& Gross (1952) | - | - | $105^{\circ}$ | Dipole moment |
| Long \& Steele (1963) | - | - | $135-146^{\circ}$ | Infrared spectra |
| Arnold \& Rogowski (1965) | 1.65 | $1 \cdot 19$ | $101^{\circ}$ | Electron diffraction |

Table 5. Principal axes of thermal ellipsoids
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are the direction cosines relative to the crystallographic axes $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} . D$ is the mean square displacement of the atom in the given direction.

|  | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\gamma$ | $D$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| S | 0.3166 | 0.1076 | 0.9426 | 0.0529 |
|  | 0.6991 | 0.6451 | -0.3081 | 0.0411 |
|  | 0.6412 | -0.7564 | -0.1286 | 0.0325 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 0.8405 | 0.4295 | -0.3300 | 0.0726 |
|  | 0.1195 | -0.7413 | -0.6603 | 0.0315 |
|  | 0.5283 | -0.5156 | 0.6744 | 0.0189 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 0.1277 | -0.6699 | 0.7313 | 0.0207 |
|  | 0.9534 | 0.2860 | 0.0955 | 0.0676 |
|  | 0.2732 | -0.6850 | -0.6752 | 0.0505 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)$ | 0.4605 | 0.2077 | -0.8629 | 0.1130 |
|  | 0.7643 | -0.5870 | 0.2666 | 0.0583 |
|  | 0.4511 | 0.7824 | 0.4292 | 0.0404 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(2)$ | 0.4984 | -0.8053 | 0.3207 | 0.0378 |
|  | 0.3990 | 0.5416 | 0.7398 | 0.1007 |
|  | 0.7695 | 0.2407 | -0.5914 | 0.0818 |

The direction cosines of the principal axes of the thermal ellipsoids and the mean square amplitudes of vibration are given in Table 5. The anisotropies are not large, and there does not appear to be anything unusual about the vibrations of the molecule.

Work on this project was begun at the California Institute of Technology in 1961, and the author wishes
to thank the Chemistry Department of that Institute for the award of an A.A. Noyes postdoctoral fellowship at that time. The computer center at Montana State University has made its facilities freely available for the computations required in this determination.
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# The Crystal Structure of $\mathrm{ThTi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (Brannerite) 

By R. Ruh* and A.D. Wadsley<br>Division of Mineral Chemistry, CSIRO, Melbourne, Australia

(Received 31 March 1966)
$\mathrm{ThTi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$, synthesized at $1610^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by solid-state reaction, crystallizes in the monoclinic system, space group $C 2 / m$, with the unit-cell dimensions $a=9.822, b=3 \cdot 824, c=7.036 \AA, \beta=118.84^{\circ}$. It contains layers formed by $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}$ octahedra sharing edges and corners in a manner reminiscent of the anatase structure, and these are joined through thorium ions in interlayer octahedral positions. There are two Th-O distances of $2.36 \AA$ and four of $2.35 \AA$, but the octahedron is flattened; two more oxygen atoms further away at $2.96 \AA$ are probably unbonded. Ti-O distances ranging from 1.83 to $2.20 \AA$ are normal. The structure was determined by Fourier methods and refined by several three-dimensional least-squares cycles.

## Introduction

One of the gaps of appreciable magnitude in the structural inorganic chemistry of solids is listed in Dana's System of Mineralogy (1944, p. 745 et seq.) under the

* On study leave from Aerospace Research Laboratories, Office of Aerospace Research, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio, USA.
general title 'multiple oxides containing columbium (sic), tantalum and titanium'. These minerals contain a wide variety of substituent elements which may include the actinide elements thorium and uranium, and many of them are found in the metamict state. Although seeming to form crystals with extensive facial development, metamict minerals are imperfectly crystallized or even glassy substances, and it is widely believed that


[^0]:    ${ }^{*}$ The program calculates the agreement index $R=\Sigma \mid\left(k F_{0}-\right.$ $\left.F_{c}\right) \| / \Sigma k F_{o}$ at the end of each cycle.

